

# SOUTH CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL WATER PLANNING GROUP

c/o San Antonio River Authority, P.O. Box 839980, San Antonio, Texas 78283-9980  
(210) 227-1373 Office, (210) 227-4323 Fax

## EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

*Con Mims*  
*Chair*

*River Authorities*

*Mike Mahoney*  
*Vice-Chair*

*Water Districts*

*Gary Middleton*  
*Secretary*

*Municipalities*

*Evelyn Bonavita*  
*Public*

*Ron Naumann*  
*Water Utilities*

## MEMBERS

*Comm. Jay Millikin*  
*Counties*

*John Kight*  
*Counties*

*David Chardavoyne*  
*Municipalities*

*Jim Gray*  
*Municipalities*

*Milton Stolte*  
*Agriculture*

*Bill Jones*  
*Agriculture*

*Doug Miller*  
*Small Businesses*

*Garriott, Carol*  
*Small Business*

*Darrell Brownlow*  
*Small Business*

*Mike Fields*  
*Electric Generating  
Utilities*

*Bill West*  
*River Authorities*

*Greg Rothe*  
*River Authorities*

*Robert Potts*  
*Water Districts*

*Jason Ammerman*  
*Industries*

*Iliana Peña*  
*Environmental*

*Donna Balin*  
*Environmental*

*David Langford*  
*Agriculture*

*Michael Harris*  
*Industries*

Date: April 23, 2007

To: Members of the South Central Texas Regional Water  
Planning Group

From: Steven J. Raabe, P.E.

The schedule and location of the meeting of the South Central Texas  
Regional Water Planning Group is as follows:

## TIME AND LOCATION

Thursday, May 3, 2007

**10:00 a.m.**

San Antonio River Authority Board Room

100 East Guenther

San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas 78204

Enclosed is a copy of the posted public meeting notice.



GMM/iw

Enclosure

**NOTICE OF OPEN MEETING OF THE  
SOUTH CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL  
WATER PLANNING GROUP**

TAKE NOTICE that a meeting of the South Central Texas Regional Water Planning Group as established by the Texas Water Development Board will be held on Thursday, May 3, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. in the San Antonio River Authority Board Room, 100 E. Guenther, San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas. The following subjects will be considered for discussion and/or action at said meeting:

1. Public Comment
2. Approval of Minutes
3. Chair's Report
4. Texas Water Development Board Communications
5. Revision of Bylaws
6. Legislative Committee update
7. Authorize the Chair to (1) Represent the SCTRWPG in the Edwards Aquifer Recovery Implementation Program (RIP) Process to Share Information and Provide an Interface Between the RIP Process and South Central Texas Regional Water Planning Group, (2) Authorize the Chair to Negotiate with Other RIP Participants a Memorandum of Agreement Describing the Purpose and Objectives of the RIP Process, and (3) Related Matters
8. Approval of Revised Scope of Work and Budget for the First Biennium of the 2011 Planning Cycle
9. Authorize San Antonio River Authority to Enter into Contracts with the TWDB and the Consultants for the First Biennium of the 2011 Planning Cycle
10. Presentation on Global Warming and it's Effect on South Central Texas by Dr. Jerry North
11. Presentation on San Antonio Bay, the Environmental Demand Center by Norman Boyd
12. Presentation on San Antonio Bay Environmental Study by San Antonio River Authority and Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority
13. Possible Agenda Items for the Next South Central Texas RWPG Meeting
14. Public Comment

The South Central Texas Regional Water Planning Area consists of Atascosa, Bexar, Caldwell, Calhoun, Comal, Dewitt, Dimmit, Frio, Goliad, Gonzales, Guadalupe, Karnes, Kendall, La Salle, Medina, Refugio, Uvalde, Victoria, Wilson, Zavala and part of Hays Counties.

Date: April 23, 2007

To: South Central Texas Regional Water Planning Group (Region L)

From: Con Mims, Chair

**AGENDA DISCUSSION - MAY 3, 2007 MEETING**

Ladies and Gentlemen:

To facilitate discussion at the May 3, 2007 meeting, I offer the following for your consideration.

**Agenda Item 5 – Revision of Bylaws**

Article V, Section 4 requires the Planning Group to post notice soliciting nominations for a successor of a member who is removed or resigns, whether the Planning Group wants to replace that member or not. The proposed amendment allows the Planning Group to decide if it wants to replace a member before solicitation of nominations are required.

**Agenda Item 7 – Regarding the Edwards Aquifer Recovery Implementation Program (RIP)**

Joy Nicholopoulos, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, has discussed with us the RIP process as it has been applied elsewhere in the United States, in particular on the Rio Grande in New Mexico. Essentially, it is a facilitated, cooperative, effort by stakeholders to develop and implement a plan to conserve and recover targeted endangered species and their habitats. The species, in our instance, are those associated with the Edwards Aquifer and Comal and San Marcos springs and may include the Whooping Crane because of its dependence on freshwater inflows to San Antonio Bay which are impacted by flows from Comal and San Marcos springs. The interest by many is in using this RIP process to better define what is needed to protect the species and to use the science to ultimately establish Edwards Aquifer pumping limits. Once a RIP is created, it is eligible to receive federal funds to help pay for scientific studies, for example. Participants in the Edwards Aquifer RIP have met three times and are in the process of drafting a Memorandum of Agreement that will define, among other things, the purpose of the RIP, its geographic scope, and a governing structure. At the last meeting, those who may be signatories to the MOA were asked to secure appropriate authorization from the entity he/she represents. I think it is important for Region L to be part of this process since, among other things, the amount of water that may be taken from the Edwards Aquifer as a result of this effort will substantially affect Region L planning.

**Agenda Item 8 – Approval of Revised Scope of Work and Budget for the First Biennium of the 2011 Planning Cycle**

There have been further changes to our Scope and Budget due to requests by Texas Water Development Board. Of significance, is a new requirement to write some rather substantial reports for each of our study topics at the end of the two year planning period. Of course, our consultants have not budgeted for these reports, nor are they presently funded by TWDB. This and other changes will need discussion.

I believe the other agenda items are self explanatory, but if you have questions or need assistance with the meeting, please let me know.

**AGENDA ITEM NO. 1**  
Public Comment

**AGENDA ITEM NO. 2**  
Approval of Minutes

**Minutes of the  
South Central Texas Regional Water Planning Group  
February 1, 2007**

The February 1, 2007 meeting of the South Central Texas Regional Water Planning Group (SCTRWPG) was called to order by Chairman Con Mims at 10:04 a.m. in the San Antonio River Authority Board Room, 100 E. Guenther Street, San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas.

Roll was called by Secretary Gary Middleton with 18 voting members present, 5 voting members absent, 4 designated alternates present and 4 non-voting members present.

**Present:**

Jason Ammerman	Mike Mahoney
Donna Balin	Gary Middleton
Evelyn Bonavita	Jay Millikin
Mike Fields	Con Mims
Jim Gary	Ron Naumann
Michael Harris	Robert Potts
Bill Jones	Greg Rothe
John Kight	Milton Stolte
David K. Langford	Bill West

**Absent:**

Darrell Brownlow  
David Chardavoine  
Richard Eppright  
Doug Miller  
Iliana Peña

**Designated Alternates:**

Luana Buckner (for Doug Miller)  
Janelle Okorie (for David Chardavoine)  
Barry Miller (for Richard Eppright)  
Diane Savage (for Darrell Brownlow)

**Non-Voting Members Present:**

Norman Boyd – Texas Department of Parks & Wildlife  
Pat Guzman – TCEQ – Watermaster Specialist  
Matt Nelson – Texas Water Development Board  
Ken Weidenfeller – Texas Department of Agriculture

**Agenda Item #1: Public Comment**

Chairman Mims asked if there were any public comments at this time. There were none.

**Agenda Item #2: Approval of Minutes:**

Chairman Mims asked for a motion to approve the minutes of the December 14, 2006 meeting.

Ron Naumann made a motion for the minutes to be approved as written and Mike Mahoney seconded the motion. The minutes were approved by consensus.

## **ADMINISTRATIVE**

### **Agenda Item #3: Chair's Report:**

Chairman Mims stated he had asked Robert Potts to discuss with the Planning Group the recent State of Texas Attorney General's opinion on the Edwards Aquifer Authority two tier permit system.

Robert Potts commented that the Attorney General (AG) basically stated that the "junior/senior" two-tiered permit program was not authorized by the Authority's statute. One section of the EAA statute calls for issuing permits with certain minimums and we have implemented that; that has resulted in about 549,000 acre-feet (ac-ft) of permitted rights. Another part of the statute states that we cannot issue more than 450,000 ac-ft of water rights. The two are obviously in conflict. The way the EAA can try to address this conflict, aside from trying to get the Legislature to resolve it, which they had not, was to administratively declare 99,000 ac-ft, the amount above 450,000 ac-ft amount as junior rights that can only be pumped when the aquifer level was high. The Attorney General said no, the minimums that are in the statute are "hard", so all of the junior rights should be treated as senior rights for pumping purposes. We are implementing that.

Mr. Potts continued, the AG stated that pumping rights could not be reduced during drought unless the J-17 well was below 650', so that changes critical period management cut backs. The result is that for 2006-2007, and going forward until something else is worked out, we have 549,000 ac-ft of pumping rights. Drought restrictions do not kick in until 650', so in 2006, existing drought rules called for the region to be in critical period for 5½ months due primarily to San Marcos Springs. The San Marcos Springs are no longer a trigger point; therefore, we were only in critical period in 2006 for a month and a half, because that was how long J-17 was below 650.

Mr. Potts' stated that the net result is that, if you are a pumper, this is very positive for you as you can pump a lot more and you will cut back during drought a lot less. This really illustrates the protections that the "junior/senior" program had for spring flow.

### **Agenda Item #4: Elect Officers to Serve During Calendar Year 2007**

Chairman Mims stated that, according to the Bylaws, voting members of the Planning Group shall elect from the voting membership a Chair, Vice-Chair and Secretary to serve as Officers. Each Officer will serve for a term of one calendar year or until his/her successor takes office. No two voting members representing the same interest shall serve as Officers at the same time. In addition, two Members-At-Large will sit on the Executive Committee and will be elected at the same time and in the same manner as the Officers.

Chairman Mims identified the current Officers and Executive Committee members: Con Mims as Chair; Mike Mahoney, Vice-Chair; Gary Middleton, Secretary; Evelyn Bonavita, Member-at-Large and Ron Naumann, Member-at-Large.

Chairman Mims stated that he would now accept nominations from the voting members.

Luana Buckner nominated Con Mims as Chairman and Mike Mahoney seconded the nomination. Con Mims was elected by consensus.

Gary Middleton nominated Mike Mahoney as Vice-Chair and Milton Stolte seconded the nomination. Mike Mahoney was elected by consensus.

John Kight nominated Gary Middleton as Secretary and Bill Jones seconded the nomination. Gary Middleton was elected by consensus.

Milton Stolte nominated Evelyn Bonavita as Member-at-Large and Mike Mahoney seconded the nomination. Evelyn Bonavita was elected by consensus.

Bill West nominated Ron Naumann as Member-at-Large and Diane Savage seconded the nomination. Ron Naumann was elected by consensus.

#### **Agenda Item #5: Replacement of Small Business Representative**

Chairman Mims stated at the last Planning Group meeting we accepted Gloria Rivera's resignation which left a vacancy in the interest category of Small Business. The Planning Group authorized the Administrator to solicit nominations to fill this vacancy.

The Executive Committee has completed the interviews with all of the candidates.

The Chairman asked if there were any public comments and none were voiced.

The Chairman stated the Executive Committee recommended Carol Garriott to replace Gloria Rivera. The Chairman then asked the Planning Group if anyone wanted to nominate anyone else for the Small Business? No additional nominations were received.

David Langford made a motion to nominate Carol Garriott and Mike Fields seconded the motion. Carol Garriott was accepted by consensus.

#### **Agenda Item #6: Resignation of Agriculture Representative**

Chairman Mims informed the planning group that Richard Eppright had submitted a letter of resignation and read the letter to the Planning Group.

The Chairman explained a conflict with the Bylaws. The Bylaws state that with the removal or resignation of a Planning Group member, the Planning Group has 45 days to post notice requesting nominations to replace that member.

The Chairman said he will propose at the next Region L meeting, to amend the Bylaws to allow the Planning Group to decide whether or not to replace a person or to fill a vacancy.

The Chairman stated that for this instance, the Planning Group needs to decide whether they want to replace Mr. Eppright in the Agriculture interest category. If the Planning

Group decides to replace Mr. Eppright, then the Planning Group should instruct the Administrator to proceed with posting a notice to be in compliance with the Bylaws.

The Chairman stated: If the Planning Group decides not to replace Mr. Eppright, then he would propose that the Planning Group not accept his resignation until the next meeting, at which time the Planning Group could amend the Bylaws, because if Planning Group does not, the Planning Group would be in violation of the Bylaws.

The Chairman reviewed the current Region L member representation, not including Mr. Eppright:

- 3 - Municipalities
- 3 - Agriculture
- 3 - River Authorities
- 3 - Small Business
- 2 - Industry
- 2 - Environmental
- 2 - Counties
- 2 - Water Districts
- 1 - Water Utilities
- 1 - Public
- 1 - Electric Generating Utilities

Barry Miller stated that he felt that they should retain the Agriculture position.

Milton Stolte stated that he felt the remaining three were adequate, as long as it did not go below that.

Bill Jones also stated that he felt three were adequate.

Chairman Mims asked for a motion to not fill this vacancy.

Mike Fields made a motion not to fill the vacancy and to accept Richard Eppright's resignation. Evelyn Bonavita seconded the motion.

Chairman Mims put this motion to a vote with:

- 19 voting yes
- 2 voting no
- 1 abstained

Chairman Mims stated that the motion passed and they will accept Richard Eppright's resignation and they will not fill the vacancy.

### **Agenda Item #7: Texas Water Development Board Communication**

Matt Nelson stated that the TWDB completed studies on potential reservoir sites and on the economic benefits of inter-basin transfers. Both studies are in draft form and available on the TWDB website.

Sam Vaughn stated that one of the recommended sites is Cuero II, or the Sandy's Creek site that was examined as a water management strategy for Region L's 2001 Regional

Water Plan. Based on the criteria used to evaluate over 150 reservoir sites that have appeared in State water plans over the years that have not been built, Cuero II was one that fell into the top 20%.

Matt Nelson was asked about a pending lawsuit TWDB is involved in and he stated that he could not comment on it because it is ongoing.

Janelle Okorie asked if the TWDB could give a periodic report on the GMA process?

Matt Nelson responded that he would give updates at each of the Planning Group meetings.

Mike Mahoney gave a brief report on the Carrizo GMA.

### **Agenda Item #8: State Legislation Potentially Affecting Region L**

Chairman Mims stated that Mike Mahoney is the Chair of our Legislative Committee which includes planning group members Bill West, Greg Rothe, David Langford and Donovan Burton (from SAWS) as committee members. The Chairman asked Mr. Mahoney to bring the Planning Group up to date on legislation potentially affecting Region L.

Mike Mahoney stated that language for the bill to resolve the problems resulting from Region L's late filing of its 2006 Plan was currently in the hands of TWDB. Once the language is agreed upon, then Senator Wentworth will file a bill on the Senate side and then Representative Puente will file a companion bill with the same language.

### **Agenda Item #9: Revisions to Article V, Section 4 Bylaws Concerning Time within Which to Post Notice to Solicit Nominations for Selection of Planning Group Members**

Chairman Mims stated that no action would be taken on this agenda item at since ten days notice has not been given. He told the Planning Group that the item will be discussed at the next meeting scheduled for May 3, 2007.

The Chairman read the proposed amendment for the Planning Group's consideration, as follows:

*"At least forty-five calendar days prior to the expiration of the term of a voting member, or **within two weeks following a Planning Group meeting at which the Planning Group decides to replace a voting member**" ~~forty-five calendar days of the removal of resignation of a voting member,~~ the South Central Texas Regional Water Planning Group will post notice ...".*

The Chairman stated that this proposed language allows the Planning Group the flexibility of filling a vacancy or not.

## **REGIONAL WATER PLANNING**

### **Agenda Item #10: Modification of Scope of Work and Budget for the First Biennium of the 2011 Planning Cycle**

Chairman Mims explained that Region L's original scope of work was very heavy in public participation, public outreach, and public involvement. The TWDB has decided not to fund this type of activity except in a minimum amount for basic services. As a result, a couple of our study topics were eliminated and a number of others were reduced in scope. Due to that, the Planning Group asked the Staff Workgroup to adjust our scope of work to fit available TWDB funding and to take their recommendations to the Executive Committee which, in turn, would bring its recommendations to the Planning Group, today. The Chairman asked Steve Raabe to discuss the proposed revised Scope and Budget.

Mr. Raabe stated that at the last Planning Group meeting, the Planning Group requested that the Administrator go out with a solicitation for local dollars to see if we could fund any of those activities that were not funded by the TWDB. The Administrator sent out 164 letters to all the water purveyors, cities, counties, and utility district members, but no one responded to the request.

Mr. Raabe said that the Staff Workgroup, at its meeting last week, went through the scope and made the following recommendations that were in the Planning Group's packet:

**Topic #0:**

This activity was fully funded by TWDB.

**Topic #1:**

The expanded *Public Participation and Outreach Program, Public Participation and Facilitation* aspects of that topic were not funded, but the technical aspects were. The technical aspects that were funded had to do with the technical consultant's attendance at these meetings and in other type of activities in support of the meetings. The Staff Workgroup is recommending that Topic #1 be deleted and the technical items that were approved for funding be moved to other topics that received funding.

**Topic #2:**

*Public Participation and Facilitation* were critical components of this topic, and were not funded. Therefore, the Staff Workgroup is recommending this topic be deleted.

**Topic #3 & 4:**

The technical work associated with reevaluation of the *LGWSP, and the Brackish Groundwater Supply Evaluation* were funded by TWDB.

**Topic #5:**

*Groundwater and Surface Water Modeling Tools Refinement* was not funded by TWDB and the Staff Workgroup is recommending that we do not proceed with this any further.

**Topic #6:**

*Enhanced Water Conservation, Drought Management and Land Stewardship*, after reviewing the technical work that was funded, we feel that those technical task can be accomplished as written and funded. The facilitation was funded also, but we believe that it will need to be revised to

facilitate discussions between the Planning Group and those interested in drought management. The original write-up for facilitation had to do with facilitating about four different workshops. Those workshops were not funded from the public participation side, but we feel that facilitation can still be accomplished in the context of a Planning Group meeting and the Facilitation Consultant would lead those discussions.

**Topic #7:**

*Environmental Studies* were fully funded. However, the TWDB has indicated they need a few more details on the stages of work, schedule and deliverables so that it can be better tracked.

**Topic #8:**

*Environmental Evaluation of Water Management Strategies* was funded.

**Topic #9:**

*Long Term Population Trends* were not funded, so it will not be included.

Mr. Raabe stated that the Administrator will reformat the scope based on the Planning Group's decision today. Mr. Raabe also stated that the deadline to TWDB for the revised SOW is February 12, 2007.

Donna Balin asked to comment on Topic #9, even though it was not funded. The way it was written was that we were suppose to monitor population trends based on local/county data relative to projections provided by the TWDB and consider growth management concepts. Ms. Balin point out that even though it is not funded she thought it is critical that we stay on top of this. She was looking at the US Census website for the state of Texas and the increase from July 1, 2005 to July 1, 2006 showed the Texas annual growth rate went up 2.5% for that year. It does not sound like a lot but that means a 28 year doubling time. That is much faster than what the TWDB has been projecting. Some of that was due to influx from Katrina and Rita and it took that into account, but I think we need to stay on top of this. Even if we got this incredible conservation, say 50% per person, that it is only negated by a doubling in population on a short scale.

Jay Milliken stated he had the same concern: We just approved in Comal County the first water control and improvement district, which is not something any of us enjoyed doing. Comal is the 5<sup>th</sup> fastest growing county, not much different from Kendall or any of the other hill country counties. Commissioner Milliken said that we have to keep tabs on population projection because he is convinced that we are underestimating in the hill country area.

Chairman Mims asked Matt Nelson if the TWDB is aware of this explosive growth throughout the state. Is TWDB tightening up their evaluations and their population projections?

Matt Nelson stated that we are aware of that. It is not the same kind of projection necessarily that we base the long-term water plan on. Some of those growth rates are short-term and it does not mean that they are going to stay at that level.

Chairman Mims stated that we could have a major problem if they are not coordinated. I would certainly go for getting some coordination on this matter.

Mr. Nelson stated they do keep track and are aware of this.

Herb Grubb asked Mr. Nelson when the TWDB planned to revise the planning projections before the next census of 2010.

Mr. Nelson responded that the next time the planning group is going to look at demands and population is going to be for the next five-year cycle, not this five-year cycle. He stated that one of the modifications to regional planning was that instead of doing population/demand projections every five years, they would be synchronized with the census numbers.

Dr. Grubb stated that the census cycle will not be out until the 2011 plan is due. We have an interim problem. From the technical standpoint and the comments that you have heard here today from the members, some special attention of subcommittees to work with the TWDB to get some reconsideration of further discussion and debate about that subject or a strategy to deal with it is needed.

Chairman Mims stated that otherwise their next plan was going to be meaningless.

Evelyn Bonavita asked if there was any discussion at TWDB about global warming and its possible affects in the next round of planning.

Mr. Nelson responded that he was not aware of any such discussions.

Bill West responded that at the national level there is considerable discussion, and they are starting some discussions at the state level.

Evelyn Bonavita stated global warming could affect regional water planning.

Mr. West stated that the Texas Water Conservation Association has identified it as a policy issue to address.

David Langford stated that he was not ready to give up on Topic #1 and that the Planning Group should consider some type of fund raiser to accomplish it. Mr. Langford asked when is it "too late"?

Chairman Mims stated that he agreed completely with Mr. Langford's assessment and any money that we gather together from the outside for public participation can be used for whatever scope we want to apply it to.

Mr. Nelson stated that was true. I do not know what "too late" is, but for the initial stage of this contract when it comes to signing the contract and that money has not been collected, that money would not be included in the contract at that point. It does not mean you cannot come back to TWDB and say now we have the money.

Chairman Mims stated that we did not have time for a full scale fundraising campaign between the time we found out what our funding level was and this meeting. What he plans to do to fill some of the void in the public outreach activity is, as we become aware of concerns in the planning region from the public on various matters, we will ask those people who have those concerns to provide us with information and then we will bring in speakers to address those problems at Planning Group meetings. Further, there will be

many opportunities for the public to speak during our Planning Group meetings. Chairman stated that he regrets not being able to take the Planning Group to meetings throughout the region. Perhaps, to some extent we will be able to bring speakers in to address those areas of concern.

Gary Middleton asked Mr. Nelson if, in the remaining portion of this year, we were able to raise say half of the amount of money that would be required to complete Task #1, could we then go back to TWDB and ask for cost share consideration?

Mr. Nelson responded that we could always ask, but I do not think that money, even if it was matching 50/50 would get funded for that task in this form.

Chairman Mims asked the public for comments:

Patrick Garcia asked if this Planning Group had any active involvement with lobbying efforts to fund priority projects.

Mr. Middleton stated other than those members who already serve on the Planning Group through their own associations and/or job descriptions such as the River Authorities, Conservation Districts, County Commissioners Courts, Municipalities and other, we all have, in some instances, some contacts back through our individual ways to make our legislators aware of the importance of this planning process and the good it is doing.

Chairman Mims responded that he thought the Planning Group needs to look at the suggestion that Mr. Langford made and design a fundraising-type of campaign that we would then bring back to the Planning Group at the next meeting to get approval and then we could see how people like the Manufacturers Association and others could help us along that line.

Chairman Mims stated that Topic 0 is the basic allocation of money that all planning groups received and this is where Region L's public participation effort is found in our new scope of work. Chairman Mims explained some of the major efforts in Topic 0:

Public Participation Consultant will;

- Improved website (updated and interactive)
- Minutes posted
- Technical documents posted
- Calendar of events
- Member's biographies and contact information
- New URL (so that it is more easily remembered)
- We will have a new logo and letterhead

Facilitation Consultant will;

- Will be meeting with each of us either in person or by telephone to determine what our specific interest and concerns are.
- Will gather that information to help us address those concerns.

The Chairman asked if there was any other discussion on the scope of work as the Staff Workgroup and the Executive Committee recommends to be approved.

Mr. Middleton moved to accept the proposed amendments to the scope of work and authorize the Administrator to submit those changes to the TWDB.

Ron Naumann seconded the motion. The motion was approved by consensus.

**Agenda Item #11: Presentation on Water Supply for Coleta Creek Reservoir and Power Station**

Chairman Mims stated that the Planning Group had received public comment from Art Dohmann and others from the Lower Guadalupe Basin expressing concern about water supply with respect to the wellbeing of the Coleta Creek Reservoir and its power station and related matters. The Planning Group received a presentation from Bill West, Mike Fields, and Fred Blumberg, Deputy General Manager of GBRA, on this subject. The presentation was recorded and is available for reference through the Administrator.

**OTHER**

**Agenda Item #12: Presentation by the Edwards Aquifer Authority on the Status of the Edwards Aquifer Recharge and Recirculation Study**

Chairman Mims noted that Carol Patterson, Edwards Aquifer Authority Board Member, has asked Region L to hear a presentation on the status of the Edwards Aquifer Recharge and Recirculation Study. He introduced Len Wagner, Program Manager of Water Resources with the EAA for the presentation. The presentation was recorded and is available for reference through the Administrator.

**Agenda Item #13: Possible Agenda Items for the Next South Central Texas RWPG Meeting**

Chairman Mims stated the next meeting would be held on May 3, 2007 at 10:00 a.m.

Bob Perez stated that possible agenda items for the May 3, 2007 meeting were;

- Climatologist presentation
- Bylaw revisions
- Update by Legislative Committee
- Status on TWDB – Contract for Topics of Study.

Chairman Mims stated that if anyone had any agenda items they wanted to add to next agenda, contact him or Bob Perez.

**Agenda Item #14: Public Comment**

Chairman Mims asked for public comments. There were none.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned by consensus at 1:37 p.m.

Prepared and recommended for approval

---

GARY MIDDLETON, SECRETARY

Approved by the South Central Texas Regional Water Planning Group at a meeting held May 3, 2007.

---

CON MIMS, CHAIR

**AGENDA ITEM NO. 3**  
Chair's Report

**AGENDA ITEM NO. 4**  
Texas Water Development Board Communication

**AGENDA ITEM NO. 5**  
Revision of Bylaws

## ARTICLE V VOTING MEMBERSHIP

### Section 4 Selection of Members

*“At least forty-five calendar days prior to the expiration of the term of a voting member, or **within two weeks following a Planning Group meeting at which the Planning Group decides to replace a voting member**” ~~forty-five calendar days of the removal of resignation of a voting member~~, the South Central Texas Regional Water Planning Group will post notice ...”.*

**AGENDA ITEM NO. 6**  
Legislative Committee Update

## AGENDA ITEM NO. 7

Authorize the Chair to (1) Represent the SCTRWPG in the Edwards Aquifer Recovery Implementation Program (RIP) Process to Share Information and Provide and Interface Between the RIP Process and South Central Texas Regional Water Planning Group, (2) Authorize the Chair to Negotiate with Other RIP Participants a Memorandum of Agreement Describing the Purpose and Objectives of the RIP Process, and (3) Related Matters

## **AGENDA ITEM NO. 8**

**Approval of Revised Scope of Work and Budget for the  
First Biennium of the 2011 Planning Cycle**

## **AGENDA ITEM NO. 9**

**Authorize San Antonio River Authority to Enter into  
Contracts with the TWDB and the Consultants for  
the first Biennium of the 2011 Planning Cycle**

**AGENDA ITEM NO. 10**

Presentation on Global Warming and its Effect  
on South Central Texas by Dr. Jerry North

## **AGENDA ITEM NO. 11**

**Presentation on San Antonio Bay, the Environmental Demand  
Center by Norman Boyd**

## **AGENDA ITEM NO. 12**

**Presentation on San Antonio Bay Environmental Study by  
San Antonio River Authority and  
Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority**

**AGENDA ITEM NO. 13**  
Possible Agenda Items for the next  
South Central Texas RWPG Meeting

**AGENDA ITEM NO. 14**  
Public Comment