

2011 Region L Water Plan Public Comment Database - Initially Prepared Plan

#	Date	Name	Affiliation	Title	Source	Water Management Strategy	Comment
Conservation							
1	13-Apr	Jerry Morrisey	Sierra Club	Alamo Group	Verbal, Apr. 13 meeting	Drought management	IPP neglects to include drought management as an economically viable, long-term strategy that allows a supplier to reduce non-essential water uses during times of drought.
2	6-May	Tony Wood	Region L	Small Business Rep.	Letter, May 6		Ashe juniper needs to be mentioned throughout these sections since it is such a dominant species of the Edwards Plateau and is integral to the survival of at least one endangered species and relevant to the water supply of the region.
3a	16-Jun	Tyson Broad	Sierra Club	Research assoc.	Letter, June 16	Drought management	Drought management is an economically viable long-term water strategy that allows a water supplier to forego developing new sources of water. It should plan a central role in planning. The term "water rationing" is incorrectly used. When drought management is used as a WMS, the plan calls for only a 5% reduction in use.
3b	16-Jun	Tyson Broad	Sierra Club	Research assoc.	Letter, June 16	Drought management	The risk factor , cited in the IPP and calculated from a risk curve derived from 1964-2005 data, needs to be updated to reflect more recent data that shows a diminished risk curve. It is misleading and inaccurate to use the TWDB's impact factor to determine the costs related to drought mgt.
Available Resources, Water Rights & Reservoirs							

1	12-Apr	Geanie Morrison	State House District 30	State representative	Verbal, Apr. 12 meeting	GBRA Exelon	GBRA Exelon project will have positive economic impact on area. But going on the record to oppose any actions or plans that would negatively impact future groundwater resources and/or private property rights
2	12-Apr	Dale Fowler	Victoria Economic Development Corporation		Verbal, Apr. 12 meeting	GBRA Exelon	Project offers great value in exchange for a small portion of our available water.
3	12-Apr	Randy Vivian	Victoria Chamber of Commerce	President	Verbal, Apr. 12 meeting	GBRA Exelon	Supportive of Exelon and the project
4	12-Apr	Dr. Ron Outen	The Aransas Project	Regional director	Verbal, Apr. 12 meeting	GBRA Exelon, GBRA New Appropriation Lower Basin Project	Two projects will divert up to an addition 1/4 billion acre-feet of water that would support the bays and estuaries. Requests these two projects be removed from "recommended" and placed in "need additional study". No valid reason to rush; time for additional study.
5	12-Apr	Charles Smith	Aransas County	County Commissioner	Verbal, Apr. 12 meeting	GBRA Exelon	IPP page 10, Section 8 calls for additional studies to examine ecological effects of projects, also sites Section 7.3.2 which calls for additional environmental studies. Growing gap between projected needs and supply. Requests projects receive additional study.
6	12-Apr	Charles Smith	Aransas County	County Commissioner	Apr. 12 letter	GBRA New Appropriation Lower Basin Project, GBRA Exelon	Recommends further study for GBRA pipeline and GBRA-Exelon. Salinity resulting from reduced inflows will damage environment and economy that depends on it.
7	12-Apr	Chip Dence	Member, Builders Assoc. of America		Verbal, Apr. 12 meeting	GBRA Exelon	Concerned about impact on wildlife and the environment around Victoria. Homebuilder recommends leaving Exelon project in recommended status so will be there if the population does indeed double in 50 years.
8	12-Apr	Charles Smith	Aransas County	County Commissioner	Apr. 12 letter	GBRA New Appropriation Lower Basin Project, GBRA Exelon	Recommends further study for GBRA pipeline and GBRA-Exelon. Salinity resulting from reduced inflows will damage environment and economy that depends on it.

9	12-Apr	Lamar Storey		citizen	Verbal, Apr. 12 meeting	GBRA New Appropriation, Lower Basin Project	Six bays depend on fresh water from river basin. Needs of Aransas Co. are not being considered. Amount of water in IPP demand calculations will negatively impact county and wildlife
10	12-Apr	Jerry James	City of Victoria	Environmental director	Verbal, Apr. 12 meeting	GBRA Exelon	Supportive of Exelon and the project but have concerns. TCEQ and NRC have studies in process.
11	15-Apr	Dianne Wassenich	San Marcos River Foundation		Apr. 15 letter	GBRA New Appropriation Lower Basin Project, GBRA Mid-Basin Project, GBRA Exelon	Projected needs in the region are way over-met by list of water projects called "approved strategies". These projects should be called alternatives since they are not likely to happen in the next 5 years.
12	15-Apr	Dianne Wassenich	San Marcos River Foundation		Apr. 15 meeting	GBRA New Appropriation Lower Basin Project	IPP shows dangerously low freshwater flows to the bays 2x as often in this plan. Projections of water coming from the Colorado River Basin are unrealistic, and the bays will suffer.
13	27-Apr	Richard Fritz		Citizen	April 26 email	GBRA Exelon	Figures provided in Vol. 1, Table 2-6, p. 2-20 (Steam -Electric Power Water Demand Projections) are inaccurate - 5,874 acre feet short since they do not include demand from 2 existing plants.
14	6-May	Tony Wood	Region L planning group	Small Business Rep	Letter, May 6	GBRA Exelon	Water rights assigned to Dow and Exelon need to be mentioned in section 1.7.2.4 and included in the IPP.
15	25-May	David L. Davidson		Citizen	Letter, May 25	GBRA Exelon, GBRA New Appropriation Lower Basin Project, GBRA Mid-Basin Project	Consideration of low flows related to water entering the estuaries fed by these watersheds inadequately accounts for the needs of whooping cranes, a federally endangered species. There needs to be a much more thorough commitment to the long-term sustainability of this important species by insuring there is sufficient flow to maintain a sustainable flock size.

16	13-Jun	Stephen Greenberg	Skyline Ranch Estates Water Supply Corp.	Atty., member of board of directors	Letter, June 13	Wimberley and Woodcreek Water Supply Project	Skyline Ranch Estates is not included in the IPP projected demand figures for this area. Currently relies on water from the Trinity. IPP should consider inter-basin water transfers as a source of water supplies for rural users such as Skyline Estates.
17	16-Jun	James Blackburn	The Aransas Project	Atty.	Letter, June 16	GBRA Exelon	The GBRA Exelon Project will not be needed in the 2010-2020 decade. The project is uncertain: permits are not in place. No serious analysis of its impact on the environment and the endangered whooping crane is included.
18	16-Jun	James Blackburn	The Aransas Project	Atty.	Letter, June 16	GBRA Mid-Basin, GBRA New Appropriation (Lower Basin)	GBRA New Appropriation (Lower Basin) is subject to senior water rights, full application of environmental flow standards per the Texas Water Code and TCEQ permitting process. Project includes major impacts to San Antonio Bay as a result of substantial water diversion. Recommends project wait until the next water plan 2016-2017.
19	16-Jun	Tyson Broad	Sierra Club	Research Assoc.	Letter, June 16	GBRA-Exelon	We have grave concerns regarding the implementation of this strategy since it would have significant impact on species that rely on sufficient freshwater inflows to San Antonio Bay. Imprudent to say there will be no adverse effect on endangered species and their habitat.
Groundwater							
1	6-Apr	Jeanne Schnuriger	Springs Hill Water Supply	General Manager	Letter, Apr. 6	TWA Regional Carrizo Project , CRWA Well Ranch Project	Proposes that pipelines be constructed/expanded to transport water to SHWSC in a comprehensive regional approach.
2	12-Apr	Arthur Dohmann	Goliad Co. Groundwater Conservation District	General Manager	Verbal, Apr. 12 meeting	Local groundwater supplies (Gulf Coast)	Demand numbers in the Region L plan are grossly understated. Be realistic about numbers and be clear about methodology. Plan does not include estimates for increased demand from mining, oil and gas exploration and irrigation, also future site mining, shale deposits. Ex: GCGCD has permitted 10x what is shown in the IPP. (See comment #6)

3	12-Apr	Geanie Morrison	State House District 30	State representative	Verbal, Apr. 12 meeting	Local groundwater supplies (Gulf Coast)	GBRA Exelon project will have positive economic impact on area. But going on the record to oppose any actions or plans that would negatively impact future groundwater resources and/or private property rights
4	12-Apr	Tyson Broad	Sierra Club		Verbal, Apr. 12 meeting	Local groundwater supplies (Gulf Coast)	Plan relies on increased groundwater pumping which will exacerbate the situaion in San Antonio Bay.
5	12-Apr	Ted Aven	DeWitt County	citizen	Verbal, Apr. 12 meeting	Local groundwater supplies (Gulf Coast)	Plan shows decreased demand for irrigation: Is that because of reduced water supply or reduced agriculture?
6	21-Apr	Arthur Dohmann	Goliad Co. Groundwater Conservation District	General Manager	Letter, Apr. 21	Local groundwater supplies (Gulf Coast)	Water use numbers in the IPP pp. 2-15, 2-17, 2-39, 2021, 2-23, and 2-25 show major discrepancies with the GCD plan. Please refer to the GCGCD management plan for projected groundwater us in Goliad Co. for irrigation and mining uses.
7	27-Apr	Alan Cockerell	Schertz Seguin Local Government Corp.	General Manager	Apr. 27 letter	GBRA Simsboro, TWA Regional Carrizo, Regional Carrizo for SAWS, Regional Carrizo for SSLGC Project Expansion , CRWA Well Ranch Project	Revise IPP to use single pipeline for these projects. Use TWDB resources for funding.
8	27-Apr	Richard Fritz	Victoria resident	Citizen	April 26 email	Local groundwater supplies (Gulf Coast)	IPP does not include calculations for water required by Goliad Co. uranium and natural gas mining - both of which consume a large quantity of water.
9	27-Apr	Richard Fritz	Victoria resident	Citizen	Email, April 26	Local groundwater supplies (Gulf Coast)	IPP asserts less water will be required by irrigation in Victoria Co. but demand for agricultural products is increasing and more available land is being converted to agricultural use.
10	30-Apr	John Bierschwale	City of Schertz	Asst. City Manager	Letter, April 30	GBRA Simsboro, TWA Regional Carrizo, Regional Carrizo for SAWS, Regional Carrizo for SSLGC Project Expansion , CRWA Well Ranch Project	Recommends regional pipeline system.

10	13-Jun	Stephen Greenberg	Skyline Ranch Estates Water Supply Corp.	Member of board of directors	Letter, June 13	Wimberley and Woodcreek Water Supply Project	Skyline Ranch Estates is not included in the IPP projected demand figures for this area. Currently relies on water from the Trinity. IPP should consider inter-basin water transfers as a source of water supplies for rural users such as Skyline Estates.
11	14-Jun	Lost Pines Groundwater Conservation District	Katie Kaighin, P.G.		Email, June 14	GBRA Simsboro Project, Hays/Caldwell PUA	Region L draw for GBRA Simsboro not included in DFC process. GBRA Simsboro proposal would require groundwater production in excess of recharge and have a depletion effect on storage in LPGCD and GMA 12. There are more economical and practical WMSes to address growth requirements in the same counties as GBRA Simsboro. Recommends these and alternative strategies, inc. Hays Caldwell PUA, be considered.
12	15-Jun	Cow Creek Groundwater Conservation District	Milan J. Michalec	Director, Pct. 2	Memo, June 15	All groundwater	IPP needs to include TWDB Guidelines definitions for groundwater availability, drought of record and existing water supply.
13	16-Jun	Rudolph P. Klein	City of Cibolo	city engineer	Letter, June 16	CRWA Wells Ranch Project	Description of project status is wrong: 2 pipeline segments have not been constructed. Requests that both pipeline segments be oversized to carry CRWA Wells Ranch and San Jose Water demands to Bexar, Guadalupe and Comal counties.
14	16-Jun	Tyson Broad	Sierra Club	Research assoc.	Letter, June 16	GBRA Simsboro	The Simsboro Project creates a potential conflict between Regions L and K.
16	16-Jun	Sally Ploeger		Citizen	Email, June 16	Brackish Wilcox Groundwater for SAWS, Regional Carrizo for SAWS, Brackish Wilcox Groundwater for RWA, Brackish Wilcox Groundwater for SSWSC	Insufficient water allocations given to agricultural (food-producing) areas. Water resources in the areas of food production are already over-allocated.
17	16-Jun	Tyson Broad	Sierra Club	Research assoc.	Letter, June 16	Local groundwater supplies (Trinity)	We appreciate the comment noting rainwater harvesting's ability to supplement supplies from wells completed in the Trinity Aquifer. This is an important component of this strategy.
Conjunctive Use							

1	15-Apr	Dianne Wassenich	San Marcos River Foundation		Letter, Apr. 15	Edwards Recharge - Type II Projects	The Blanco dam is impractical for reasons of (1) the region's evaporation rates, (2) the river dropping underground during droughts, and (3) the size of the dam that would be required to withstand the large floods of this large watershed and the gravel quantities that drift in such floods, which would fill the lake quickly, diminishing its capacity. The interbasin transfer listed in the plan is unrealistic and thus the projections in the plan are unrealistic about the effects on the bays. Dams are a destructive and outdated water management strategy.
2	15-Apr	Alan Cummings	Green Valley Farm	Citizen	April 15 email	Edwards Recharge - Type II Projects	Dam on Blanco River will help alleviate floods on the river.
Surface Water							
1	12-Apr	Dr. Mac Lee	Save the Lavaca River	Private citizen	Letter, Apr. 12	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir	Palmetto Stage II and Off Channel Reservoir will damage area and river.
2	12-Apr	Dr. Mac Lee	Save the Lavaca River	Private citizen	Verbal, Apr. 12	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir	Lavaca River is the last free-flowing river in Texas. Previous dam, Lake Texana, did not meet objectives. Citizens do not want another dam.
3	12-Apr	Kevin Janek	Calhoun County		Verbal, Apr. 12 meeting	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir, GBRA New Appropriation	Concerned about private property rights in Calhoun County. Questions location of proposed reservoirs that will use eminent domain to take land. If additional reservoirs are built in Calhoun County, county will need to use groundwater to compensate.
4	12-Apr	Dr. Ron Outen	The Aransas Project	Regional director	Apr. 12 letter	GBRA New Appropriation Lower Basin Project	Insufficient flows to support whooping cranes. Recommends further study for massive diversions from the GBRA-Exelon and GBRA New Appropriation Lower Basin Project.

5	12-Apr	Dr. Ron Outen	The Aransas Project	Regional director	Verbal, Apr. 12 meeting	GBRA New Appropriation Lower Basin Project, GBRA Exelon	Two projects will divert up to an addition 1/4 billion acre-feet of water that would support the bays and estuaries. Requests these two projects be removed from "recommended" and placed in "need additional study". No valid reason to rush; time for additional study.
6	12-Apr	Charles Smith	Aransas County	County Commissioner	Verbal, Apr. 12 meeting	GBRA New Appropriation Lower Basin Project	IPP page 10, Section 8 calls for additional studies to examine ecological effects of projects, also sites Section 7.3.2 which calls for additional environmental studies. Growing gap between projected needs and supply. Requests projects receive additional study.
7	12-Apr	Charles Smith	Aransas County	County Commissioner	Apr. 12 letter	GBRA New Appropriation Lower Basin Project	Recommends further study for GBRA pipeline and GBRA-Exelon. Salinity resulting from reduced inflows will damage environment and economy that depends on it.
8	12-Apr	Jerry James	Aransas County	County Commissioner		GBRA Exe	Supportive of Exelon and the project
9	12-Apr	Chuck Shamel	Leave Our Lavaca Alone	Citizen	Apr. 12 meeting	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir	Save water for the whooping cranes and redfish. Population growth is exceeding the capacity of the land to support it.
10	12-Apr	Kathy Land	Leave Our Lavaca Alone	Citizen	Apr. 12 meeting	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir	Other technology is available to store water than a dam on the Lavaca. Previous dam-building projects have not achieved what was promised.
11	12-Apr	Timmy Jacobs	Leave Our Lavaca Alone	Citizen	Apr. 12 meeting	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir	Other technology is available to store water than a dam on the Lavaca. Previous dam-building projects have proven useless.
12	12-Apr	Tyson Broad	Sierra Club		Verbal, Apr. 12 meeting	GBRA Mid-Basin	Surface water withdrawals from the Guadalupe River will only exacerbate the situation on the San Antonio Bay.

13	13-Apr	David Langford	Region L planning group	Member	Apr. 13 letter	Storage above Canyon Reservoir (ASR) Vol. 2, 4C.9-1, 911, 9-21, 9.3.5	This entire proposed WMS features poorly-selected sites and will have detrimental environmental and recreational impacts. Both the off-channel reservoir on Flat Rock Creek and the ASR sites on Block Creek will impact this member's historic family ranch and home. Further, any eminent domain powers used in the entire Region L plan should be exercised respectfully, with bona fide willing sellers (not those forced to capitulate) and not be implemented with arrogance or entitlement.
14	15-Apr	Quentin Eyberg		Citizen	April 17 letter	Wimberley and Woodcreek	Water is a shared commodity. Understanding this premise will lead to more cooperation and stewardship.
15	15-Apr	Dianne Wassenich	San Marcos River Foundation		Apr. 15 meeting	GBRA New Appropriation Lower Basin Project, GBRA Mid Basin	IPP shows dangerously low freshwater flows to the bays 2x as often in this plan. Projections of water coming from the Colorado River Basin are unrealistic, and the bays will suffer.
16	15-Apr	Dianne Wassenich	San Marcos River Foundation		Apr. 15 letter	GBRA New Appropriation Lower Basin Project, GBRA Mid-Basin Project	Projected needs in the region are way over-met by list of water projects called "approved strategies". These projects should be called alternatives since they are not likely to happen in the next 5 years.
17	28-Apr	Jeanne Schnuriger	Springs Hill Water Supply	General Manager	Letter addendum Apr. 28	GBRA Mid-Basin	Add a regional pipeline carrying potable surface water from the SHWSC Lake Placid Water Treatment Plant
18	30-Apr	Kenneth Roberts	City of Selma	City Administrator	Apr. 30 letter	GBRA Simsboro, TWA Regional Carrizo, Regional Carrizo for SAWS, Regional Carrizo for SSLGC Project Expansion , CRWA Well Ranch Project	Expand the pipeline network to include the area from Guadalupe County to Bexar and Comal counties.

19	26-May	Marcus & Sharon Tomas		Citizen	May 26, letter	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir	The Stage II Palmetto Dam will steal our land, livelihoods and history for use in other cities and counties. We speak out against it and the loss and destruction it will bring to Jackson Co. Stage I of Palmetto Dam has not fulfilled the promises given then. The majority of landowners & taxpayers in Jackson Co. do not want Stage II to happen.
20	25-May	David L. Davidson		Citizen	Letter, May 25	GBRA New Appropriation Lower Basin Project	Consideration of low flows related to water entering the estuaries fed by these watersheds inadequately accounts for the needs of whooping cranes, a federally endangered species. There needs to be a much more thorough commitment to the long-term sustainability of this important species by insuring there is sufficient flow to maintain a sustainable flock size.
21	4-Jun	Carol A. Lee		Private citizen	Letter, email and postcard, June 4	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir	Please do not dam the Lavaca River. As a citizen, landowner of Jackson Co., I express the wishes of the majority of our county.
22	9-Jun	Lois A. Drushel		Citizen	Letter, June 7	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir	I oppose the damming of the Lavaca River and the use of eminent domain as a means to acquire land for State II. This should not be included in either Region L or Region P plans.
23	9-Jun	Betty Willis		Citizen	Telephone call to LRPR	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir	Opposes the dam.
24	9-Jun	Lindsey Lee Bradford		Citizen	Email, June 11	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir	Benefits attributed to Lake Texana from 1969 dam did not materialize.. Similarly, Stage II will only destroy the natural beauty of the river and the people who depend on it. It is the wrong thing for this area and its people.

25	12-Jun	Mary Prihoda		Citizen	Letter, June 12	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir	Resident of Jackson Co: I don't want or need another dam. We will be taxed again on Stage II. Eminent domain should not be used to take the land.
26	14-Jun	Lost Pines Groundwater Conservation District			Email, June 14	GBRA Mid-Basin	GBRA Mid-Basin would produce 25,000 acre-ft/year, supply the same counties and be more economical and practical than GBRA Simsboro.
27	15-Jun	Johnny Belicek	Jackson Co.	County Commissioner	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir/Palmetto Bend Stage II	Requests Region L not support another dam being built in Jackson county.
28	15-Jun	Lesley & Gloria Koop		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir/Palmetto Bend Stage II	Jackson Co. does not need another dam.
29	15-Jun	Loretta Lynn Ramirez		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir/Palmetto Bend Stage II	We don't need another dam.
30	15-Jun	Carol P. Lee		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir/Palmetto Bend Stage II	I oppose building an archaic dam. There are alternatives.
31	15-Jun	Norman Hunt		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir/Palmetto Bend Stage II	My family lost land to Lake Texana. Don't take away any more land. Don't dam the Lavaca River.
32	15-Jun	Adam Gonzales		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir/Palmetto Bend Stage II	Opposes the dam.
33	15-Jun	Lisa C. Burris		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir/Palmetto Bend Stage II	Opposes the dam; will lose land for the 2nd time.
34	15-Jun	Frances Wells Staev		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir/Palmetto Bend Stage II	The dam will only raise taxes and interfere with the basic right of ownership.

35	15-Jun	Blanca Arredondo		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-channel Reservoir/Palmetto Bend Stage II	We do not want another dam.
36	15-Jun	Patrick Andel		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir/Palmetto Bend Stage II	We don't need another dam. Taxes are too high already. We don't need more. They sell the water and don't pay taxes on it.
37	15-Jun	Joleen Jackson		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir/Palmetto Bend Stage II	We don't need another dam.
38	15-Jun	Mindy Yendry		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir/Palmetto Bend Stage II	I don't need a tax increase
39	15-Jun	Hope & Tony Cavazos		Citizens	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir/Palmetto Bend Stage II	Oppose dam
40	15-Jun	Laya Clowers		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel/Palmetto Bend Stage II	Opposes dam
41	15-Jun	Lucinda Rodriguez		citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel/Palmetto Bend Stage II	Opposes dam
42	15-Jun	Leanne Bridges		citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel/Palmetto Bend Stage II	Opposes dam
43	15-Jun	Tina McDonald		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel/Palmetto Bend Stage II	Opposes eminent domain re: this project
44	15-Jun	Lance & Melissa Koop		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel/Palmetto Bend Stage II	Opposes transferring water outside of Jackson Co.
45	15-Jun	Melissa Sappington		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel/Palmetto Bend Stage II	Present dam facility is useless; opposes eminent domain to build another.

46	15-Jun	Clifton & Leah Hicks		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel/Palmetto Bend Stage II	No dam!
47	15-Jun	Michele & Kurt Orsak		Citizens	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel/Palmetto Bend Stage II	Oppose dam
48	15-Jun	David Sheblak		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel/Palmetto Bend Stage II	Opposes damming the Lavaca River. Desalinization is the only viable option for long-term water supplies.
49	15-Jun	R. Wyatt		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel/Palmetto Bend Stage II	Opposes damming the Lavaca River. No more land grabbing!
50	15-Jun	Tyrol E. Norman		Citizen	Letter, June 15	Lavaca River Off-Channel/Palmetto Bend Stage II	Opposes damming the Lavaca River.
51	16-Jun	James Blackburn	The Aransas Project	Atty.	Letter, June 16	GBRA Mid-Basin	Project will modify existing flow regime below the Gonzales diversion. It is in the early formulation stage and would be appropriate to postpone until the next water plan when more info is available.
52	16-Jun	Tyson Broad	Sierra Club	Research analyst	Letter, June 16	Storage above Canyon Reservoir	Appreciate the consideration of this strategy as an aquifer storage and recovery system rather than relying on off-channel reservoirs. .
53	16-Jun	Tyson Broad	Sierra Club	Research assoc.	Letter, June 16	Lavaca River Off-Channel Reservoir / Palmetto Bend - Stage II	(1) IPP Appendix D predicts Calhoun Co. industrial needs in 2060 will be 209 acre-ft, which was to be met by a water purchase from the Lavaca-Navidad River Authority. Does it need a 10,000 acre feet strategy to address (this 245 or 209) acre feet need? (2) The strategy was presented to Region L on the same day the IPP was to be approved, without providing members/public the opportunity to vet this controversial project.

54	16-Jun	Sally Ploeger		Citizen	Email, June 16	GBRA-Mid-Basin, New Appropriation, Lavaca River Off-Channel	There have been insufficient environmental studies. The plan does not take into account flow rates among other factors and the impact on the ecology of the rivers and wetlands.
55	16-Jun	Tyson Broad	Sierra Club	Research assoc.	Letter, June 16	GBRA Mid-Basin	Section A(2) of the Guadalupe Basin Water Needs Workgroup needs to be included in sections 4C.15 and 16.
56	16-Jun	Tyson Broad	Sierra Club	Research assoc.	Letter, June 16	GBRA New Appropriation Lower Basin	Section 4C.14 needs to include Section A(2) of the Guadalupe Basin Water Needs Workgroup Recommendations
Alternative Water Management Strategies							
1	25-May	David L. Davidson		Citizen	Letter, May 25	LGWSP FOR Upstream GBRA needs, LGWSP for Upstream GBRA Needs at Reduced Capacity	Should the GBRA-Exelon WMS go forward and be included in the 2011 plan, the full LGWSP for upstream GBRA needs cannot be included. However, it is possible to include LGWSP for Upstream GBRA Needs at Reduced Capacity as a recommended water management strategy along with the GBRA Exelon WMS, should the planning group choose to do so.
2	14-Jun	Lost Pines Groundwater Conservation District			Email, June 14	GBRA Mid-Basin (conjunctive use) and Regional Carrizo for Guadalupe Basin	These strategies would each produce 25,000 acre-feet of water/year, supply the same counties, and be more economical and practical than the GBRA Simsboro project.
Water Management Strategies Requiring Further Study & Funding							

1	25-May	David L. Davidson		Citizen	Letter, May 25	Brush Management	Much of the information in the IPP Appendix D has been shown to be incorrect. It should be revised and any aspect of the plan that relies on extensive clearing of juniper or other native trees and vegetation be revised in accordance with the latest information available. If sustainable management is realized in these watersheds, stream flow will increase and flooding will be dampened.
2	16-Jun	James Blackburn	The Aransas Project	Atty	Letter, June 16	The Lower Guadalupe Water Supply Project for Upstream GBRA Needs at Reduced Capacity	Unclear as to whether this project would involve adding fresh groundwater to the strategy; if so, would be of great concern and would require an amendment process. Contains no credible impacts analysis. SAGES report is not a defensible piece of scientific research in its present form. Since issue of impacts is neither evaluated or included, Region L members cannot be adequately informed.
3	16-Jun	James Blackburn	The Aransas Project	Atty	Letter, June 16	The Lower Guadalupe Water Supply Project for Upstream GBRA Needs	Adding fresh groundwater to the strategy is of great concern. SAGES report, if included in the reference to scientific studies, has been discredited. Delivers approx. 22,000 acre-feet more than the projected needs but decade needed is defined as 2010-2020.
General Comments							
1	6-May	H.A. Tony Wood	Region L	Small Business Rep.	Letter, May 6	Cost	New supplies through both engineered projects and conservation will account of 766,700 additional acre feet by 2060. The costs will rise from \$104/acre feet to over \$2429, the latter figure associated with lower river basin off-channel storage reservoirs and desal.
							(1) Petrochemical industry, which has a significant presence in lower Guadalupe River basin is omitted as a major water user. (2) Steam electricity generated from nuclear power

2	6-May	H.A. Tony Wood	Region L	Small Business Rep.	Letter, May 6	Industrial Demand	electricity generated from nuclear power consumes 60% more water than coal-fired plants. Coal-fired plants with carbon sequestration consume 40% more water than those currently operating.
3	6-May	James Blackburn	The Aransas Project	Atty.	Letter, June 16		IPP avoids hard issues having to do with the local and rural communities and their economies. Certain water mgt strategies have long lead times and are premature for the 2012 plan.